25X1

25X1

25X1

-2-

25X1

- 4. Bloc pledges of readiness to send "volunteers" to Vietnam at Hanoi's request were conceived and issued for obvious purposes of political demonstration. From time to time Moscow has focused on the "volunteer" gesture as an earnest of its commitment to support Morth Vietnam. Talk, after all, is cheap, and the Soviets have already derived some propaganda mileage from exploitation of this issue.
- 5. The USSR has the option of further refining its pledge and conveying the impression that the sending of "volunteers" is under more urgent consideration. The Soviets are free to acknowledge more openly the full extent of the Bussian presence in North Vietnam. Over recent months they have done just that. The Soviet press has become increasingly candid in calling public attention to specific forms of Russian emistance to Hanoi. Last year Moscow revealed that North Vietnamese military specialists had been schooled in training programs conducted in the USSR. More recently the Soviets have publicly alluded to the role of Russian military advisors and technicians within North Vietnam, who are advising and training anti-aircraft technicians and pilots.
- in Vietnam has been introduced for political effect; it is designed both to undercut Chinese charges of Soviet treachery and cowardice, and to deter, if possible, further escalatory moves by the US. For similar purposes, and with Hanoi's prior concurrence, the USSR could readily take the next logical step by sending further increments of personnel to Vietnam, with appropriate fantare, and openly call these "volunteers." Or it could, for example, declare technicians and advisors already

25X1

-3-

there "volunteers." * Either move would slightly raise the political level of Moscow's commitment to North Vietnam's defense. Any such tactic would elicit a predictable propagands splash in headlines throughout the world, but would on balance have but marginal significance in terms of Moscow's role in the war. Mare open acknowledgement of Soviet involvement in defense of North Vietnam would not appreciably increase the risk of an open Soviet-US military confrontation.

7. There is, of course, no indication that the North Vietnamese at present either want or need openly declared "volunteers" for use in the South, nor is it likely that Hanoi will appeal for open volunteers for this purpose in the foreseeable future. In any event, Moscow has certainly not given Hanoi a blank check to be filled out when and as the North Vietnamese see fit. Should the Soviets be one day called upon to make good on their "volunteer" propaganda gesture, they could almost certainly handle the matter in such a way as to respond adequately, without substantially changing the charageer of the war or the extent of their own involvement in North Vietnam's defense.

25X1

25X1

^{*} Shortly after the Warsaw Pact pledge last July "to allow volunteers to go to Vietnam," Moscow seemed to be preparing against all contingencies. A Soviet broadcast commenting on the Warsaw Pact statement explained that "the Fifth Hague convention of 1907 does not establish the responsibility of states for the fact that their citizens volunteer for service with one of the belligerents."

